

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,714	01/12/2002	Vinh N. Le	180577-00120	1267
31013	013 7590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
	EVIN NAFTALIS &	DOROSHENK, ALEXA A		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 919 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, . ,	NEW YORK, NY 10022		1764	m*v

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

do

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

No.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/044,714	LE ET AL.			
, tariotify , totion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexa A. Doroshenk	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	•				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Deur Sohuron			
		JERRY D. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has submitted evidence and art which would require further consideration. For further clarification, the examiner again notes that while the term "heat pipe" can have the description previously asserted by applicant, the examiner has not found support in the claim language or specification to limit the "heat pipe" of applicant's claim solely to the definition of the device argued by applicant.